SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN, U.S.D.J.:

Scott Davis, proceeding pro se, moves to modify his sentence pursuant to section 3585 of title 18 of the United States Code.<sup>1</sup> The Government opposes Davis's motion.<sup>2</sup>

Davis makes two arguments. *First*, he asserts that because he never met all of his bail conditions, he was never actually released, and thus any time served after his arrest must be credited against his sentence.<sup>3</sup> *Second*, he argues that the treatment center he attended was similar enough to a prison that any time

See Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by Person in Federal Custody ("Pet.").

See 11/6/07 Letter from Assistant U.S. Attorney Michael D. Maimin to the Court ("Gov't Resp.").

See Pet.  $\P$  1.

spent at that center must be credited against his sentence.<sup>4</sup> He asks this Court to modify his sentence accordingly. For the following reasons, Davis's motion is denied.

On January 6, 2005, Davis was arrested, and soon thereafter charged with selling methamphetamine.<sup>5</sup> On January 7, 2005, Davis appeared before Magistrate Judge Ronald L. Ellis, who set bail conditions, which included, inter alia, a \$50,000 bond to be co-signed by two sureties and secured by \$2,500 in cash.<sup>6</sup> At that time, Davis was released on his own recognizance, but was required to meet the other conditions of release by January 14, 2005.<sup>7</sup> Davis signed his bond and was released.<sup>8</sup> Although he did not meet the other release conditions, his bail was not rescinded until April 12, 2005.<sup>9</sup>

During the period from January to April, 2005, Davis attended an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *See id.* ¶ 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Gov't Resp. at 2.

<sup>6</sup> See id. at 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *See id.* at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See 1/7/05 Appearance Bond Case No. 05 Mag. 36, Ex. A to Pet. See also Gov't Resp. at 3.

<sup>9</sup> See Gov't Resp. at 3.

inpatient drug rehabilitation center, as required by his bail conditions.<sup>10</sup> That center is privately owned and not under the control of the United States Attorney's Office, the Bureau of Prisons, or the United States Marshals Service.<sup>11</sup> While at that facility, Davis alleges that he "had no liberties whatsoever, no passes, no furloughs."<sup>12</sup> When his bail was rescinded, he was remanded into custody.

On April 7, 2006, Davis pled guilty and was convicted on two counts: conspiracy to distribute and the distribution of methamphetamine.<sup>13</sup> This Court sentenced Davis to forty-one months in custody, to be followed by three years of supervised release.<sup>14</sup> He is currently serving the custodial portion of his sentence.<sup>15</sup>

"A defendant convicted of a federal crime has a right under 18 U.S.C. § 3585(b) to receive credit for certain time spent in *official detention* before his

See Pet. ¶ 8; Gov't Resp. at 3.

See 11/6/07 Affirmation of Carmine Mosca, Executive Officer of the Riverside Support Center, Ex. D to Gov't Resp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> 3/16/07 Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal, Ex. C to Pet.

See Pet.  $\P$  3.

<sup>14</sup> See id.  $\P 2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> *See id.* ¶ 1.

General, through the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP"), who computes the amount of credit a defendant receives after he is sentenced.<sup>17</sup> Moreover, "[section] 3585(b) does not authorize a district court to compute the credit at sentencing."<sup>18</sup> If a defendant disputes the BOP's determination of credit, he or she may seek judicial review of the decision by filing a habeas corpus petition under section 2241 of title 28 of the United States Code after exhausting all administrative remedies.<sup>19</sup>

Credit for prior custody. A defendant shall be given credit toward the service of a term of imprisonment for any time he has spent in official detention prior to the date the sentence commences-

- (1) as a result of the offense for which the sentence was imposed; or
- (2) as a result of any other charge for which the defendant was arrested after the commission of the offense for which the sentence was imposed;

that has not been credited against another sentence.

United States v. Wilson, 503 U.S. 329, 330 (1992) (emphasis added).
 18 U.S.C. § 3585(b) provides:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See Wilson, 503 U.S. at 333.

Id. at 334. Accord United States v. Barrera-Saucedo, 385 F.3d 533, 536 (5th Cir. 2004) ("There is no statutory authority for district courts to award credit against federal sentences for time spent in state custody.").

See Carmona v. United States Bureau of Prisons, 243 F.3d 629, 634 (2d Cir. 2001) ("We have held that federal prisoners must exhaust their administrative remedies prior to filing a petition for habeas relief.").

Finally, the Supreme Court has defined official detention as those instances in which a court commits the defendant to the custody of the Attorney General, as opposed to any period of time in which the defendant was "released" on bail.<sup>20</sup> Any time spent on bail may not be credited against the sentence, even if during those periods the defendant was ordered to a facility under "highly restrictive conditions" and "jail-type confinement."<sup>21</sup>

The facts in *Reno v. Koray* are nearly identical to the facts here.

Defendant Koray was released on bail to a privately owned rehabilitation facility where he was held in "jail-type confinement." The Supreme Court determined that "the time respondent spent at [a] community treatment center while 'released' on bail pursuant to the Bail Reform Act of 1984 was not 'official detention' within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3585(b). Respondent therefore was not entitled to a credit against his sentence of imprisonment." Davis was likewise under serious restrictions while at a privately-owned rehabilitation facility, and likewise is not entitled to credit against his sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See Reno v. Koray, 515 U.S. 50, 56 (1995).

*Id.* at 53-54.

Id. at 53 (finding that Koray had no permission to leave the facility for any reason unless accompanied by a government agent).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> *Id.* at 65.

Davis argues that because he failed to timely satisfy all of the bail conditions, he was never released on bail. This is not the case. He was released on bail upon signing his bond – his failure to meet the other conditions only meant that his bail could be rescinded if the court chose to do so. For unknown reasons, his bail was not rescinded until April 12, 2005.<sup>24</sup>

Davis cites five cases that he contends stand for the proposition that time spent in a treatment facility may be credited against a sentence. However, only one of those cases remains good law and it stands for the opposite proposition. *Reno v. Koray*, in fact, overruled three of the other cases – *Mills v. Taylor*, *United States v. Mori*, and *United States v. Davis*. The fourth, *Moreland v. United States*, was vacated on rehearing. <sup>26</sup>

Because Davis was released on bail, he was not under the authority of the Attorney General. Therefore, the time he spent in the treatment facility cannot be credited against his sentence.

See Gov't Resp. at 3. See also 4/12/07 Minute Entry for Proceedings Held Before Magistrate Judge Kevin N. Fox: Detention Hearing as to Scott Davis.

Mills v. Taylor, 967 F.2d 1397 (9th Cir. 1992); United States v. Mori, 798 F. Supp. 629 (D. Haw. 1992); United States v. Davis, 763 F. Supp. 638 (D.D.C. 1991).

See 967 F.2d 1397 (8th Cir. 1991), vacated, 968 F.2d 655 (1992) (en banc).

For the foregoing reasons, Davis's motion to modify his sentence is denied. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this motion [Docket #1] and this case.

SO ORDERED:

Shira A. Scheindlin

U.S.D.J.

Dated: New York, New York

March 7, 2008

## - Appearances -

## Petitioner (Pro Se):

Scott Davis Reg. #52979-054 Federal Correctional Institution Otisville P.O. Box 1000 Otisville, New York 10963

## For Respondent:

Michael D. Maimin Assistant United States Attorney One St. Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007 (212) 637-2238